CROP: $\quad$ Carrot (Daucus carota subsp. sativus (Hoffm.) Arcang.)
PEST: $\quad$ Cavity spot (Pythium intermedium de Bary, Pythium irregulare Buisman, Pythium sulcatum Pratt \& Mitchell, Pythium sylvaticum W.A. Campbell \& J.W. Hendrix, Pythium ultimum Trow and Pythium violae Chesters \& C.J. Hickman)
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## TITLE: EVALUATION OF CARROT BREEDING LINES FOR SUSCEPTIBILITY TO CAVITY SPOT, 2016

MATERIALS: USDA experimental carrot breeding lines, commercial cultivars Cellobunch and Envy (Seminis Vegetable Seeds), Atomic Red and Purple Haze (Johnny's Select Seeds), Upper Cut, Honey Snax and Maverick (Nunhems), and Triton (Sakata)

METHODS: The trial was conducted on organic soil ( $\mathrm{pH} \approx 5.7$, organic matter $\approx 71.6 \%$ ) naturally infested with Pythium spp. at the Muck Crops Research Station, Holland Marsh, Ontario. Carrots were direct seeded ( $\approx 70$ seeds $/ \mathrm{m}$ ) onto raised beds using a push seeder (Jang model JP-2) on 2 June. A randomized complete block design with four replicates per treatment was used. Each experimental unit consisted of one row, 6 m in length, spaced 66 cm apart. On 20 August, where plant stand numbers permitted, 25 carrots were removed from every replicate, placed in storage and assessed for cavity spot on 24 August. On 30 September, plots were visually assessed for: leaf blight, ( $0-5$ scale where $0=$ no blight to $5=$ leaf/ petiole necrosis), and plant stand, ( $3-1$ scale where $3=$ excellent stand, $2=$ poor stand, $1=$ very poor stand). On 23 , 26 and 27 October 50 carrots from each replicate were harvested, placed into cold storage, and assessed for cavity spot on 17-24 November. Carrots were washed in a small drum washer, visually examined for cavity spot lesions, and sorted into classes based on the size of the largest lesion (measured as horizontal width). The six classes were: no disease, very light ( $<1 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), light ( $1-2 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), medium ( $3-5 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), heavy ( $6-10 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), and very heavy ( $>10 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). The disease severity index (DSI) was determined using the following equation:

$$
\mathrm{DSI}=\frac{\sum[(\text { class no. })(\text { no. of carrots in each class })]}{(\text { total no. carrots per sample })(\text { no. classes }-1)} \times 100
$$

Compared to the previous 10 year averages, air temperatures in 2016 were average for June $\left(18.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, and above average for July $\left(22.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ August $\left(22.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, September $\left(17.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and October $\left(10.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. The 10 -year average temperatures were: June $18.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, July $21.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, August $19.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, September $15.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and October $9.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Monthly rainfall was below the 10 -year average for June ( 39 mm ), July ( 51 mm ), August ( 58 mm ), September ( 25 mm ), and October ( 41 mm ). The 10-year rainfall averages were: June 85 mm , July 96 mm , August 71 mm , September 82 mm and October 73 mm . All data were analyzed using the General Analysis of Variance function of Statistics V.10. Means separation was obtained using Fisher's Protected LSD test with $P=0.05$ level of significance.

RESULTS: as presented in Tables 1, 2 \& 3
CONCLUSIONS: In 2016, the weather was hotter and drier than average and the incidence of cavity spot was lower than in previous years. However, a wide range of susceptibility to cavity spot was identified (Table 1). Orange carrot lines 356-1 (1137B) and 365-1 (5367B) have been in the trial since 2014, and have had cavity spot incidence of $9.8,9.8 \& 8.7 \%$ and $21.2,13.8 \& 5.5 \%$ respectively over three years. In 2016, lines 104073-2 (2226B), 5277-1 (6526B), and C105R2 (Nb2159B) look relatively resistant, with cavity spot incidence of $1.6,6.8 \& 7.2 \%$ respectively.
There was also a wide range in susceptibility to carrot leaf blight, with lines 638-2, 706-7, and 5277-1 having very low ratings (Table 2). Poor emergence for some of the BR x 6274 derivatives meant that there were very few or no carrots to assess for cavity spot (Table 3).

Table 1. Cavity spot incidence and severity index (DSI) for carrot breeding lines from the University of Wisconsin grown at the Muck Crops Research Station, Holland Marsh, Ontario, 2016.

| Seed Source/Name | Colour ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% Forked } \\ & (18 \mathrm{Aug}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Disease Incidence (\%) |  | $\mathrm{DSI}^{2}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 18 Aug | 14 Nov | 18 Aug | 14 Nov |
| 656-1 | P | -- | -- ${ }^{3}$ | 0.0 a | -- | 0.0 a |
| Purple Haze | P | 12.0 abc | $0.0 \mathrm{a}^{4}$ | 0.0 a | 0.0 a | 0.0 a |
| 355-2 | P | 13.3 a-d | 2.7 abc | 0.0 a | 0.8 a | 0.0 a |
| 122-1 | P | 0.0 a | 0.0 a | 0.5 a | 0.0 a | 0.2 a |
| 355-1 | P | 5.6 abc | 2.7 abc | 0.5 a | 1.1 ab | 0.2 a |
| 104073-2 (2226B) | O | 9.3 abc | $0.0{ }^{5}$ | 1.6 ab | 0.0 a | 0.8 ab |
| 151681 | P | -- | --- | 2.0 ab | -- | 1.1 abc |
| 365-1 (5367B) | 0 | -- | --- | 5.5 abc | -- | 3.0 a-d |
| 5277-1 (6526B) | 0 | 8.0 abc | 1.3 ab | 6.8 a-d | 0.8 a | 3.6 a-d |
| C105R2 (Nb2159B) | O | 8.1 abc | 4.2 abc | 7.2 a-d | 3.7 abc | 2.8 a-d |
| 268-2 | P | 31.7 efg | 2.6 abc | 7.6 a-e | 1.3 ab | 2.9 a-d |
| 356-1 (1137B) | O | -- | -- | 8.7 a-e | -- | 3.5 a-d |
| Uppercut | O | 5.3 abc | 15.9 d | 9.7 a-f | 7.4 c | 4.3 a-e |
| 356-2 | O | -- | -- | $10.0 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{g}$ | -- | $3.5 \mathrm{a-d}$ |
| Nun 151721 | O | $14.5 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d}$ | 10.3 cd | 11.7 a-h | 5.4 bc | 5.0 a-f |
| Cellobunch | O | 10.7 abc | 4.0 abc | 13.1 b-h | 0.8 a | $5.8 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{g}$ |
| Pro Peel | O | -- | -- | 13.8 b-h | -- | $6.5 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{g}$ |
| 120-1 | O | -- | -- | 14.5 b-h | -- | $5.0 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{g}$ |
| Maverick | O | 8.1 abc | 9.4 bcd | 16.1 c-h | 2.4 ab | 8.8 d-h |
| Nun 151761 | O | -- | -- | 16.2 c-h | -- | $7.0 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{h}$ |
| B101-1 | O | 34.7 g | 2.7 abc | 16.6 c-i | 0.8 a | 7.7 b-h |
| 306-1 | O | 15.9 a-e | 6.7 abc | 17.1 c-i | 2.9 ab | 8.9 d-i |
| Atomic Red | R | -- | -- | 17.2 c - i | -- | 9.6 d-i |
| 110996 | O | 17.3 b-f | 1.3 ab | 17.3 c - | 0.5 a | 8.2 c-h |
| 5306-1 | O | 32.8 fg | 4.1 abc | 17.9 c - | 1.4 ab | 8.6 d-h |
| 104068-2 | O | 29.3 d-g | 2.7 abc | 18.7 d-i | 1.6 ab | 9.4 d-i |
| B104-1 | O | 18.7 b-g | 1.3 ab | 19.9 e-i | 0.5 a | 10.8 e-i |
| 268-1 | P | 16.2 a-e | 9.5 bcd | 21.5 fi | 3.6 abc | 11.8 f-i |
| Triton | O | 16.0 a-e | 1.3 ab | 21.6 f-i | 0.5 a | 9.2 d-i |
| 111031 | O | 12.0 abc | 4.0 abc | 21.7 f-i | 1.3 ab | 12.7 ghi |
| 941-1 | O | -- | -- | 22.1 f-i | -- | 13.8 hi |
| N110101 | O | 17.2 b-f | $7.9 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d}$ | 22.3 ghi | 3.7 abc | $11.8 \mathrm{f}-\mathrm{i}$ |
| Envy | O | 2.7 ab | 5.6 abc | 23.6 hi | 2.5 ab | 11.8 f-i |
| Honey Snax | O | 6.7 abc | 5.3 abc | 23.9 hi | 2.4 ab | 12.4 ghi |
| 310-1 | O | $20.1 \mathrm{c-g}$ | 3.9 abc | 29.7 i | 1.6 ab | 16.6 i |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{O}=$ orange, $\mathrm{P}=$ purple, $\mathrm{R}=$ red |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{DSI}=\frac{\sum[(\text { class no. })(\text { no. of carrots in each class })]}{\text { (total no. carrots per sample) (no. classes }-1)} \times 100$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{3}$ Numbers in a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at $P=0.05$, Fisher's Protected LSD test. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2. Leaf blight ratings for carrot breeding lines from University of Wisconsin grown at the Muck Crops Research Station, Holland Marsh, Ontario, 2016.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trt } \\ & \# \end{aligned}$ | Seed Source | Line | Leaf Blight Severity Rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | 638-2 | BR x 6274 | 1.0 a |
| 27 | 706-7 | BR x 6274 | 1.0 a |
| 45 | 5277-1 | 6526B | 1.0 a |
| 63 | Pro Peel | -- | 1.0 a |
| 64 | Maverick | -- | 1.1 ab |
| 39 | 708-6 | BR x 6274 | 1.1 ab |
| 18 | 638-8 | BR x 6274 | 1.2 abc |
| 5 | Nb1175B | 310-1 | 1.3 abc |
| 26 | 706-6 | BR x 6274 | 1.3 abc |
| 61 | Triton | -- | 1.3 abc |
| 55 | N110101 | Nb4001B | 1.3 abc |
| 54 | B101-1 | B101-1 | 1.3 abc |
| 41 | 708-8 | BR x 6274 | $1.3 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d}$ |
| 22 | 641-6 | BR x 6274 | 1.5 a-e |
| 21 | 641-5 | BR x 6274 | 1.5 a-e |
| 51 | 5306-1 | Nb1391B | 1.5 a-e |
| 57 | Cellobunch | -- | 1.5 a-e |
| 47 | 306-1 | 1391B | 1.5 a-e |
| 7 | 368-1 | 5494B | 1.5 a-e |
| 58 | Envy | -- | 1.6 a-f |
| 56 | B104-1 | Nb4002B | 1.6 a-f |
| 16 | 636-12 | BR x 6274 | 1.6 a-f |
| 44 | 104068-2 | 8524B | 1.6 a-f |
| 14 | 636-2 | BR x 6274 | $1.8 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{g}$ |
| 65 | Uppercut | -- | $1.8 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{g}$ |
| 2 | 355-2 | 1131A | $1.9 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{h}$ |
| 62 | HoneySnax | -- | 1.9 b-h |
| 1 | 355-1 | 1131B | $1.9 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{h}$ |
| 25 | 706-5 | BR x 6274 | $1.9 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{h}$ |
| 53 | C105R2 | Nb2159B | 1.9 b -h |
| 48 | Nun151761 | Nb3271B | 2.0 c-i |
| 49 | Nun151721 | Nb2159B | $2.0 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{i}$ |
| 52 | 110996 | Nb1393B | $2.0 \mathrm{c-i}$ |
| 43 | 941-1 | 9322B | $2.0 \mathrm{c-i}$ |
| 59 | Purple Haze | -- | 2.1 d-j |
| 20 | 639-17 | BR x 6274 | 2.1 d-j |
| 8 | 268-1 | P6245B | 2.1 d-j |
| 3 | 356-1 | 1137B | 2.3 e-k |
| 50 | 111031 | 9296B | 2.3 e-k |
| 9 | 268-2 | PSC x 6245 | 2.3 e-k |
| 4 | 356-2 | 1137A | 2.4 f-1 |
| 15 | 636-10 | BR x 6274 | $2.5 \mathrm{~g}-1$ |
| 13 | 151681 | PO114B | $2.6 \mathrm{~h}-1$ |


| 46 | 120-1 | Nb1175B | 2.8 i-1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 60 | Atomic Red | -- | 2.8 i-1 |
| 11 | 104073-2 | 2226B | $2.9 \mathrm{j}-\mathrm{l}$ |
| 12 | 122-1 | P7262B | 3.0 kl |
| 6 | 365-1 | 5367B | 3.0 kl |
| 10 | 656-1 | P6139 | 3.11 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Leaf blight was rated on a $0-5$ scale where $0=$ no blight, $1=1-10 \%$ leaf area blighted, $2=11-25 \%$ leaf/petiole <br> blighted, $3=26-50 \%$ leaf petiole blighted, $4=>75 \%$ leaf/petiole area blighted, $5=$ leaf/petiole necrosis. ${ }^{2}$ Numbers in a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at $P=0.05$, Fisher's Protected LSD test. |  |  |  |

Table 3. Plant stands on 21 June and the sample size for final cavity spot assessment for breeding lines from University of Wisconsin grown at the Muck Crops Research Station, Holland Marsh, Ontario, 2016.

| Seed Source | Line | Plants/m | Avg \# Carrots Assessed for Cavity Spot |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 111031 | 9296B | $81.5 \mathrm{a}^{1}$ | 51.3 |
| 355-2 | 1131A | 77.0 ab | 50.0 |
| C105R2 | Nb2159B | 74.5 abc | 47.0 |
| 151681 | P0114B | 60.0 a-d | 45.5 |
| 104073-2 | 2226B | 58.3 a-d | 48.3 |
| 104068-2 | 8524B | 54.8 b-e | 49.5 |
| B101-1 | Nb3284B | 53.3 b-e | 44.0 |
| 5306-1 | Nb1391B | 53.0 b-e | 51.0 |
| Maverick | -- | 51.8 b-f | 50.8 |
| 268-2 | PSC x 6245 | $50.3 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{f}$ | 50.3 |
| 355-1 | 1131B | $45.0 \mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{g}$ | 46.8 |
| N110101 | Nb4001B | 43.8 d-g | 49.5 |
| 110996 (2013 B.P.) | Nb1393B | 43.3 d-h | 49.8 |
| Nun151721 | Nb2159B | $41.5 \mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{h}$ | 41.0 |
| Envy | -- | 41.0 d-h | 49.5 |
| Pro Peel | -- | 41.0 d-h | 50.5 |
| 306-1 | 1391B | 40.8 d-i | 44.3 |
| Nun151761 | Nb3271B | 40.8 d-i | 30.8 |
| Honey Snax | -- | 38.5 d-i | 50.3 |
| 5277-1 | 6526B | 37.3 d-i | 34.8 |
| Triton | -- | 36.3 d-j | 51.0 |
| 122-1 | P72762B | 34.8 d-j | 48.0 |
| B104-1 | Nb4002B | 32.0 e-k | 48.3 |
| Cellobunch | -- | 31.0 e-1 | 50.3 |
| Uppercut | -- | 30.3 e-m | 49.3 |
| 268-1 | P6245B | 29.5 e-n | 44.0 |
| Purple Haze | -- | 29.0 e-o | 50.3 |
| 310-1 | Nb1175B | 26.0 f-p | 35.0* |
| 365-1 | 5367B | 24.0 g-q | 32.5 |
| 941-1 | 9322B | 24.0 g-q | 45.5 |


| 120-1 (1475017) | Nb1175B | $17.8 \mathrm{~h}-\mathrm{q}$ | 28.7* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atomic Red | -- | 17.8 h-q | 34.8 |
| 356-2 | 1137A | 15.0 i-q | 17.5 |
| 656-1 | P6139 | $11.3 \mathrm{j}-\mathrm{q}$ | 21.5 |
| 356-1 | 1137B | 8.8 k-q | 21.8 |
| 636-10 ${ }^{2}$ | BR x 6274 | 5.8 1-q | 7.0 |
| 641-5 | BR x 6274 | $5.51-\mathrm{q}$ | 4.5 |
| 368-1 | BR x 6274 | $4.5 \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{q}$ | 8.8 |
| 706-6 | BR x 6274 | $4.3 \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{q}$ | 0.0 |
| 708-4 | BR x 6274 | $4.3 \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{q}$ | 0.0 |
| 707-7 | BR x 6274 | 3.3 o-q | 0.0 |
| 636-12 | BR x 6274 | 3.0 pq | 1.5 |
| 639-17 | BR x 6274 | 3.0 pq | 4.8 |
| 706-7 | BR x 6274 | 3.0 pq | 0.0 |
| 706-4 | BR x 6274 | 2.8 pq | 0.0 |
| 638-2 | BR x 6274 | 2.5 pq | 0.0 |
| 638-8 | BR x 6274 | 2.5 pq | 0.0 |
| 706-5 | BR x 6274 | 2.5 pq | 0.0 |
| 636-2 | BR x 6274 | 2.3 pq | 0.0 |
| 641-7 | BR x 6274 | 2.3 pq | 0.0 |
| 708-3 | BR x 6274 | 2.0 pq | 0.0 |
| 708-6 | BR x 6274 | 2.0 pq | 0.0 |
| 708-2 | BR x 6274 | 1.8 pq | 0.0 |
| 708-5 | BR x 6274 | 1.8 pq | 0.0 |
| 638-9 | BR x 6274 | 1.3 pq | 0.0 |
| 707-1 | BR x 6274 | 1.3 pq | 0.0 |
| 707-3 | BR x 6274 | 1.0 pq | 0.0 |
| 641-6 | BR x 6274 | 0.8 pq | 0.0 |
| 707-9 | BR x 6274 | 0.8 pq | 0.0 |
| 708-1 | BR x 6274 | 0.8 pq | 0.8 |
| 708-8 | BR x 6274 | 0.8 pq | 0.0 |
| 708-7 | BR x 6274 | 0.5 pq | 0.0 |
| 706-10 | BR x 6274 | 0.3 pq | 0.0 |
| 708-9 | BR x 6274 | 0.3 pq | 0.0 |
| 707-6 | BR x 6274 | 0.0 q | 0.0 |
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Numbers in a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at $P=0.05$, Fisher's Protected LSD test.

    * This is the average of 3 reps
    ${ }^{2}$ Entries below the line were not assessed for cavity spot.

